IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 17751 of 2003

to

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 17759 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

REVA POLYMER INDUSTRIES

Versus

GUJARAT SMALL INDUSTRIES CORPNLTD

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 17751 of 2003

MR MANISH R BHATT for Petitioner No. 1

MR MAULIN R RAVAL for Respondent No. 1

MS NANDINI JOSHI A.G.P. for Respondent No. 2 [S.C.A. No. 17751 of 2003 to 17753 of 2003].

MR MR MENGDEY A.G.P. for Respondent No. 2 [S.C.A. No. 17754 of 2003 to 17756 of 2003].

MR AP BHATT A.G.P. for Respondent No. 2 [S.C.A. No. 17757 of 2003 to 17759 of 2003

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

Date of decision: 23/09/2004

ORAL COMMON JUDGEMENT

1. RULE. Mr. Maulin Raval waives service of rule on behalf of respondent no. 1 in all these petitions and learned A.G.P.s are directed to waive service of rule on behalf of respondent no.2 in all these petitions.

2. Mr. Raval learned advocate appearing for respondent no. 1 submitted that the grievances made by the petitioners are already under consideration by respondent no. 1 and a letter to that effect is also communicated to the Secretary, Gujarat State Plastic Manufacturers Association, on 29th March, 2004. The said letter is ordered to be taken on the record.

3. Mr. Bhatt learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submitted that if the grievances of the petitioners are redressed by the respondent, the petitioners will appear before respondent no. 1 as and when called.

4. In that view of the matter, Mr. Bhatt does not press this petition at this stage. However, it is made clear that if the decision is adverse, then no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner for a period of 15 days, so that the petitioner can avail the remedy of approaching the appropriate authority to redress their grievances. The aforesaid liberty shall be subject to the condition that petitioners shall pay the principal amount in installments as decided by respondent no. 1.

5. With the above direction, the petitions stand disposed of as not pressed. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief if any, stands vacated. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive these petitions in case of difficulty.

[K.S. JHAVERI, J.]

/phalguni/